



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP -
- APPM/TX
3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD,
SUITE 1500
HOUSTON TX 77056

MAILED

SEP 24 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Chen, et al.

Application No. 09/728,038

: DECISION ON PETITION

Filed: December 1, 2000

Attorney Docket No.
AMAT/3778/CMP/CMP/RKK

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed September 2, 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-cited application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed July 7, 2009, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months from its mailing date. No extension of time pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained within the allowable period. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on October 8, 2009. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed January 21, 2010.

The amendment filed September 2, 2010, is noted.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application¹. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center 3700, GAU 3723 for further processing

/Kenya A. McLaughlin/

Kenya A. McLaughlin
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

cc:
Keith Taboada
595 Shrewsbury Ave.
Suite 100
Shrewsbury, NJ 07702

¹ The power of attorney filed September 2, 2010, is noted but cannot be entered as the power of attorney is executed by assignee and the 3.73(b) statement filed September 2, 2010, is defective. The 3.73(b) statement lists that title of the invention as something other than what is recorded in USPTO records.